



NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECRETARIES OF STATE
Administrative Codes and Registers Section
NASS 2006 Summer Conference

July 9-12, 2006 - Santa Fe, NM
"Experience the City Different"

ACR Attendance and Participation Survey

Please complete this survey and place it in the box labeled "Program Evaluation Survey." You may also mail the completed survey to:

Candy Herring
Colorado Secretary of State
1700 Broadway, Suite 300
Denver CO 80290

Please be as thorough as possible and fill out your answers in detail. If more space is needed, you may use the back or attach additional pages.

All comments received will be compiled and used by the ACR leadership and the upcoming program chair to assess the effectiveness of this conference and to make ACR conferences more productive and useful to its members in the future.

1. Please categorize yourself:
 - Government rules publisher
 - Private publisher
 - Other vendor (describe)
 - Government rules reviewer
 - Other (please specify)
2. If you are a government rules publisher or reviewer, to which branch of government does your organization belong?
 - Executive
 - Judicial
 - Legislative
 - Independent
3. Which of the following NASS/ACR summer conferences have you attended?
 - 2005 St. Paul, MN
 - 2004 New Orleans, LA
 - 2003 Portland, ME
 - 2002 Providence, RI
 - 2001 Little Rock, AR
 - 2000 Baltimore, MD
 - 1999 St. Louis, MO
 - 1998 Salt Lake City, UT
 - 1997 Mobile, AL
 - 1996 Charleston, SC
 - 1995 Rapid City, SD
 - 1994 Kalispell, MT
 - Prior conferences (please identify year, location, or both)

4. If you attended other NASS/ACR Summer Conferences, which do you think was the most productive for you?

5. Rank obstacles that limit your attendance at NASS/ACR Summer Conferences with 1 being the greatest and 5 being the smallest obstacle.

- ___ time of year
- ___ organization travel restrictions
- ___ budget limitations
- ___ expense of meeting place
- ___ other (please explain)

6. What could NASS/ACR leadership do to help you overcome these obstacles?

7. Are you willing to become involved in (check all that apply)

- participation on a panel
- planning a conference
- coordinating a session topic
- contributing financial resources as a sponsor, for the next conference
- other (please explain)

8. Please provide a brief critique of this year's sessions

Sunday - July 9, 2006:

- a. Who's Who and What's New

- b. Mary Beth Herkert – Records Management 101

Monday - July 10, 2006:

- a. Cynthia Waddell – Beyond Accessible Web and IT: Update on State Impact

- b. Cliff Zhonggang Li – Portal Application in eRulemaking: Florida eRule Project

- c. Ginny Redish – Plain Language Workshop

Tuesday - July 11, 2006:

- a. Ken Hansen – Model State Administrative Procedure Act Update

- b. Tour of the Capitol and Supreme Court Buildings

- c. John Mountjoy – Interstate Compacts

- d. Colborn Award Presentation

Wednesday - July 12, 2006:

- a. John Martinez – New Mexico Rulemaking

- b. Molly Masich – Training the Trainer

- c. Honorable Rudy Shije, Honorable Amy Lovell, and Honorable Laura Ramos – Native American Law Making

- d. Candy Herring and Sherri Kovach – Roundtable Discussion regarding Job Descriptions and Salaries

9. Which session did you find most useful? Why?

10. Which session did you find the least useful? Why?

11. Generally, do you prefer individual presenters or panel discussions? Why?

12. Do you enjoy joint sessions with NASS? Why? What appropriate topics for future joint sessions do you suggest?

13. General Comments:

- a. Facilities
- b. Scheduling
- c. Order of presentations
- d. Length of session
- e. Number of sessions
- f. Number & duration of breaks
- g. Activities

14. Please list topic suggestions and presenters for next year's conference.

15. What changes, if any, do you suggest for making ACR a more valuable resource?

16. Do you have any suggestions for recruiting new members?

(OPTIONAL)

Name _____

Title/Position _____

Agency/Company _____

Address _____

Phone _____ Fax _____

Internet e-mail _____