NASS/ACR 2004 Summer Conference

Program Survey

Schedule

Presentations

Minutes

Pictures

Program Survey

2004 Conference

ACR Home Page

If you have not already done so, please complete the Program Evaluation Survey and mail it to:

John H. Martinez
Commission of Public Records
1205 Camino Carlos Rey
Santa Fe, NM 87507

Please be as thorough as possible and fill out your answers in detail. If more space is needed, you may use the back or attach additional pages.

All comments received will be compiled and used by the ACR leadership and the upcoming program chair to assess the effectiveness of this conference and to make ACR conferences more productive and useful to its members in the future.

Program Survey Form

ACR Attendance and Participation Survey

New Orleans, Louisiana
July 16 - 19, 2004

Please complete this survey and place it in the box labeled "Program Evaluation Survey."
You may also mail the completed survey to:

John H. Martinez
Commission of Public Records
1205 Camino Carlos Rey
Santa Fe, NM 87507

Please be as thorough as possible and fill out your answers in detail. If more space is needed, you may use the back or attach additional pages.

All comments received will be compiled and used by the ACR leadership and the upcoming program chair to assess the effectiveness of this conference and to make ACR conferences more productive and useful to its members in the future.

---

1. Please categorize yourself:
   - ❏ Government rules publisher
   - ❏ Government rules reviewer
   - ❏ Private publisher
   - ❏ Other (please specify)
   - ❏ Other vendor (describe)

2. If you are a government rules publisher or reviewer, to which branch of government does your organization belong?
   - ❏ Executive
   - ❏ Legislative
   - ❏ Judicial
   - ❏ Independent

3. Which of the following NASS/ACR summer conferences have you attended?
   - ❏ 2003 Portland, ME
   - ❏ 2002 Providence, RI
   - ❏ 2001 Little Rock, AR
   - ❏ 2000 Baltimore, MD
   - ❏ 1999 St. Louis, MO
   - ❏ 1998 Salt Lake City, UT
   - ❏ 1997 Mobile, AL
   - ❏ 1996 Charleston, SC
   - ❏ 1995 Rapid City, SD
   - ❏ 1994 Kalispell, MT
   - ❏ Prior conferences (please identify year, location or both)

4.   If you attended other NASS/ACR Summer Conferences, which do you think was the most productive for you?

5.   Rank obstacles that limit your attendance at NASS/ACR Summer Conferences with 1 being the greatest and 5 being the smallest obstacle.

<u>   </u>   time of year   <u>   </u>   expense of meeting place

<u>   </u>   organization travel restrictions   <u>   </u>   other (please explain)

<u>   </u>   budget limitations

6.   What could NASS/ACR leadership do to help you overcome these obstacles?

7.   Are you willing to become involved in (check all that apply)
   ❏   participation on a panel
   ❏   planning a conference
   ❏   coordinating a session topic
   ❏   contributing financial resources as a sponsor, for the next conference
   ❏   other (please explain)

8.   Please provide a brief critique of this year's sessions
Friday - July 16, 2004:
   a. Who's Who and What's New?

   b. Business Meeting

   c. Roundtable Discussion - official vs. unofficial publications, official with no paper,
digital signatures

Saturday - July 17, 2004:
   a. Sandra Jaramillo (NM) - Conservation of Rule Documents

   b. Joint Meeting - 100th Anniversary Opening Ceremony

    c. Joint Meeting - NASS Emeritus Members Roundtable - Defining Moments for NASS

    d. Lynne Angle & Jim McClure (MO) - Follow Up on Missouri Rule System

    e. Roundtable Discussion - ensuring rule integrity, proofing methods, e-mail delivery issues

Sunday - July 18, 2004:
    a. Colborn Award - Presentation and Demonstration

    b. Sherri Kovach & Beverly Barr (ID) - Rule Writing Update

    c. Mary Wyatte (PA) - Pennsylvania Regulatory Review Procedure

    d. Professor John Gedid (NCCUSL) - Revising the Model State Administrative Procedure Act

Monday - July 19, 2004:
    a. Louisiana Rulemaking

    b. Paul Wernet (Formatta) - E-forms

    c. Susan Volotta (CCH) & Friends - E-rulemaking

    d. 2nd Business Meeting

9.   Which session did you find most useful? Why?

10.   Which session did you find the least useful? Why?

11.   Generally, do you prefer individual presenters or panel discussions? Why?

12.   Do you enjoy joint sessions with NASS? Why? What appropriate topics for future joint sessions do you suggest?

13.   General Comments:
  a. Facilities
  b. Scheduling
  c. Order of presentations
  d. Length of session
  e. Number of sessions
  f. Number & duration of breaks
  g. Activities

14.   Please list topic suggestions and presenters for next year's conference.

15.   What changes, if any, do you suggest for making ACR a more valuable resource?

16.   Do you have any suggestions for recruiting new members?

(OPTIONAL)
Name _____

Title/Position _____

Agency/Company _____

Address _____

Phone _____   Fax _____

Internet e-mail _____